

## PUBLISHED ABSTRACT

# Informed Consents or Consent of Information? Assessing Quality of Informed Consents for Scheduled Cesarean Section

Janelle Jackman<sup>1</sup>, Carolina Cay-Martinez<sup>1</sup>, Kimen Balhotra<sup>1</sup>, Shervonne Waldron<sup>2</sup>, Robert Robertazzi<sup>1</sup> and Erroll Byer<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The Brooklyn Hospital Center, US

<sup>2</sup> St. James Medical complex, Trinidad and Tobago, TT

Corresponding author: Janelle Jackman ([Janellejackman@outlook.com](mailto:Janellejackman@outlook.com))

---

**Keywords:** Informed consent; consents; Autonomy; Patient consent; Consent forms

---

## Background

Informed consents of patients undergoing procedures are important not only for ethical and legal reasons but also for the quality of care. Patients' understanding allows for better cooperation, improves results and satisfaction and helps prevent errors. Providers must ensure that the patient understands the nature of their condition, the risks and benefits of the procedure, the alternatives, and agrees voluntarily. Although consents are a well-established practice, it often fails to meet its purpose. Providers must realize that signing a consent form is not equivalent to receiving informed consent.

## Methods

A cross sectional survey of patients admitted for scheduled cesarean section. The anonymous questionnaires were administered within 30 minutes to 48 hours of having the consent explained. The questions focused on patient's recall of information about the explanation of the procedure, risks and alternatives, preferences about the decision process and overall satisfaction with the way the consent was obtained.

## Results

Only 9% of the patients didn't receive explanations about risks but 42% didn't have discussions of alternative options. Most patients (70%) weren't asked to repeat the explanation. Expectations about decision varied, with 65% favoring shared decision and nearly 26% preferring autonomous decision. Satisfaction was rated as good or very good by 94% of patients.

## Conclusions

In conclusion, most patients do not remember receiving explanations about alternatives for procedures nor are they asked to repeat explanations. We recommend that the quality of consents be regularly assessed to ensure informed consent is being obtained.

**How to cite this article:** Jackman J, Cay-Martinez C, Balhotra K, Waldron S, Robertazzi R, Byer E. Informed Consents or Consent of Information? Assessing Quality of Informed Consents for Scheduled Cesarean Section. *Journal of Scientific Innovation in Medicine*. 2019; 2(2): 21. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.29024/jsim.33>

**Submitted:** 06 August 2019

**Accepted:** 06 August 2019

**Published:** 27 November 2019

**Copyright:** © 2019 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. See <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.



*Journal of Scientific Innovation in Medicine* is a peer-reviewed open access journal published by Levy Library Press.

OPEN ACCESS 